Risk no.	Risk Theme	Risk Description	Proba bility	Impact	Gross	Risk treatme nt	Mitigation	Update/Change from January 2018
1	Risks to Housing Delivery							
1a		Reduction in market demand results in slowdown in housing completions	3	4	12	Treat	Undertake regular engagement with developers to understand any early signs of market decline and potentially explore re-phasing of affordable housing.	No change
1b		Significant changes to national policy (e.g. affordable housing policy) creates climate of uncertainty	3	4	12	Tolerate	Keep up to date with government policy consultations and assess possible detrimental impacts to Bicester housing delivery.	The Government has recently published a draft revised National Planning Policy Framework for public consultation, which closes in May 2018. Although not the final version, it does propose the deletion of reference to the application of Garden City principles in relation to large scale development. If this change is adopted in the final version of the Guidance, it may make it more challenging to secure high quality large scale development in Bicester.

Risk Register March 2018

			T.	4.0	· .		
1c	Developer interest in		4	12	Treat	Maintain awareness of	No change
	slows, resulting in a f	ewer				what applications are	
	number of planning					being submitted to CDC	
	applications being					Development	
	submitted to CDC					Management and	
						follow up with	
						conversations with	
						developers to	
						understand their	
						timescales / reason for	
						slowdown.	
1d	Slow completion of S	3	4	12	Treat	Identify source(s) of	Progress continues to be
	agreements which de	elays				delay and agree with	made on NW Bicester S106
	issuing of planning	,				relevant parties' actions	agreements, in particular
	permissions leading t	to				and those responsible	with the Himley Village
	delayed start on sites					for implementing with	(1700 dws), envisaged to be
						timescales to resolve.	completed during Summer
							2018.
							Progress on both the A2
							Dominion S106 agreements
							(2600 dws and 900dws) are
							to some extent dependent
							on progress being made
							resolving the outstanding
							issues with Network Rail
							(see Risk 3e below).
							The s106 for Albion Land's
							application for residential
							development has been
							-
							completed.
							Its appeal against CDC's
							refusal of the original

2	Risks to delivery of Strategic							application (for both housing & commercial elements) has been allowed. However this is now the subject of a legal challenge by CDC relating to the community development elements of the Inspector's decision. At the current time there is no discernible impact from this on the progress of \$106 agreements.
	Commercial Sites							
2a		Risk that market demand for premises does not match the Use Class(es) which are being sought.	3	4	12	Treat	Explore potential for attracting wider range of business to Bicester.	No change
2b		Reduction in market demand results in slowdown in completed commercial development	3	4	12	Treat	Undertake regular engagement with developers to understand any early signs of market decline and potentially explore if there are any options to remediate the situation.	No change

2c		Slow completion of S106 agreements which delays issuing of planning permissions, leading to delayed start on sites.	3	4	12	Treat	Identify source(s) of delay and agree with relevant parties' actions and those responsible for implementing with timescales to resolve.	Progress on commercial sites is being monitored.
3	Risks to delivery of Strategic Infrastructure							
3a		General issue of inadequate funding to deliver infrastructure to support planned development	4	4	16	Treat	Work with government and other partners to secure necessary infrastructure to support growth.	No change
3b		Reductions in staff capacity of consultees leading to delays in providing advice, comments on applications etc.	4	3	12	Treat	Identify area of reduced capacity and assess likely impact on infrastructure delivery. Explore alternative options to provide capacity in-house in CDC.	No change
3c		Development sites do not come forward speedily and / or in the manner anticipated so adversely impacting on	3	4	12	Treat	Engage in regular dialogue with developers to understand underlying causes and see what	No change

		comprehensive and timely					can be done to re-	
		delivery of infrastructure					profile timing of	
			_	_			infrastructure provision.	
3d		Developers successfully	3	4	12	Treat	Work with developers /	See risk 1d
		challenge CDC / OCC S106					colleagues to agree a	Albion appeal allowed (Dec
		requirements resulting in a reduction of the					compromise if possible which avoids	17). CDC's and OCC's S106
		developer funding needed					undermining the	requirements were generally maintained by the
		to support the delivery of						Inspector, bar those relating
		necessary infrastructure.					delivery of key infrastructure. Initiate	to supporting the new
		necessary minastructure.					discussions with the	community at NW Bicester.
							Homes England / LEPs	However this is now the
							to explore opportunities	subject of a legal challenge
							to close major funding	by CDC - specifically relating
							gaps.	to the community elements
							0.1.	of the Inspectors decision.
								·
3e		Issues around Network	3	4	12	Treat	Maintain continuous	No change
		Rail requirements					dialogue with Network	Meetings continue with
		threaten to delay					Rail, OCC and A2D.	Network Rail & A2Dominion
		completion of S106					Maintain regular	to progress design of rail
		agreements.					dialogue with relevant	crossings and Network Rail
							government	agreements to deliver. This
							departments including	work will provide certainty
							DfT and CLG.	over costs and the timing of
								delivery.
4	Risks to securing							
-	a whole town							
	approach							
4a		Reduction in availability of	3	4	12	Treat	Explore possible	No change
		funding threatens delivery					alternatives looking at	_
		of town wide projects					both internal and	

							external sources of funding. Look at re- profiling project outcomes. Consult other delivery partners and investigate pooling resources.	
4b		Capacity of Bicester Delivery Team is inadequate to deliver projects in a timely and effective manner.	4	3	12	Treat	Utilise Project Delivery Plan to keep a close eye on delivery milestones and ability of Team to meet them, agree which projects are top priority to progress and those of less importance, recruit to vacant posts and explore opportunities for project reassignment / sharing within Team.	Probability changed from 2 (Unlikely) to 4 (Likely). Maria Curran (Garden Town Delivery Manger) is leaving the Council at the end of March 2018. One member of the Team has had contract extended. Two remain on contracts that will expire during 2018/19. Discussions are underway regarding Team resources.
4c		Lack of partner interest in collaborating and / or ability to support delivery of projects	3	3	9	Treat	Discuss with potential partners with a view to trying to find compromises / a way forward e.g. if capacity is limited then prioritise areas for involvement.	No change
5.	Risks to progressing the Bicester Healthy New Town							

	Programme							
5a		Expectations exceed what can be reasonably delivered	3	3	9	Treat	Work to continuously manage expectations - through communications, SDB, partners, NHS England etc. Ensure that agreed programme is realistic in terms of the capacity need to deliver actions.	No change
5b		General understanding of the Programme and its objectives suffers from confusion, leading to lack of support from key stakeholders and lack of interest from the public in taking part in the initiatives.	2	4	8	Treat	Ensure clarity over key messages and deliver all communications according to an agreed strategy which provides a consistent and clear guide to govern all communications. Hold a variety of events which allow people to get involved in the Programme and ask questions, rather than just relying on social media, press coverage etc.	No change
5c		Funding to deliver Year 2 of the Programme fails to materialise	1	4	4	Treat	Maintain positive dialogue with NHS England to ensure mutual understanding of each other's objective s and	No change Funding confirmed

							priorities.	
5d		Funding to sustain the programme beyond current pilot phase (ending March 2019)	5	3	15	Treat		Future programme and funding opportunities continue to be explored by the Healthy New Town Team.
6	Risks relating to public opinion / stakeholder management							
6а		Lack of momentum results in an increase in negative opinions around development	3	3	9	Treat	Keep a keen watch on media reports etc. around Bicester and act promptly to address negative coverage. Maintain / increase good communications with stakeholders and other delivery partners.	No change
5b		Ineffective communication leads to concerns over lack of control and direction of development	3	3	9	Treat	Manage communication to ensure that messages are clearly communicated explaining the Council's role of developing the town.	No change

Guide to Risk Assessment

Probability	Scale	Likelihood	Indicators
Very likely	5	More than 75% chance of occurrence	Regular occurrence Circumstances frequently encountered
Likely	4	50% - 75% chance of occurrence	Likely to happen at some point within the next 1-2 years Circumstances occasionally encountered (a few times a year)
Possible	3	30% - 50% chance of occurrence	Likely to happen once or a small number of times over 2-3 years
Unlikely	2	10% - 30% chance of occurrence	Only likely to happen once in 3 or more years
Remote	1	Less than 10% chance of occurrence	Has happened rarely/never before

Impact		Likelihood									
	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain						
	1	2	3	4	5						
Catastrophic		10	15	20	25						
5	5										
Major				16							
4	4	8	12		20						
Moderate			9		15						
3	3	6		12							
Minor		4	6	8	10						
2	2										
Almost none		2		4	5						
1	1		3								

